Disposal, Cleaning and Disinfection

Animal Disease Outbreak Response

Lori P. Miller, PE USDA APHIS Lori.p.miller@aphis.usda.gov





OIE Terrestrial Animal Code

Article 8.5.9: Recovery of free status after FMD Outbreak Stamping Out Without vaccination:

3 months after the last *case* where a *stamping-out policy* and serological *surveillance* are applied ...

No Stamping Out With Vaccination:

18 months after the last *case* where a *stamping-out policy* is **not** applied, but emergency vaccination and serological *surveillance* ... are applied...

Animal Health Protection Act –

Delegates APHIS the authority to regulate animal health activities





APHIS Regulations

Title 9 CFR: Animals and Animal Products PART 53— FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE, PLEUROPNEUMONIA, RINDERPEST, AND CERTAIN OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES OF LIVESTOCK OR POULTRY

§ 53.4 Destruction of animals.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, animals infected with or exposed to disease shall be killed promptly after appraisal and disposed of by burial or burning, unless otherwise specifically provided by the Administrator, at his or her discretion.

Subchapter B-Cooperative Control and Eradication of Livestock or Poultry Diseases

- Cleaning and Disinfection of premises as approved by APHIS
- Producer responsible for cost of cleaning and disinfecting premises
- APHIS typically pays indemnity for animals ordered destroyed



APHIS

United Kingdom, Japan, South Korea

LESSONS LEARNED FROM OTHER COUNTRIES





2001 UK FMD Outbreak







2010 Japan FMD Outbreak – Lack of Burial Sites Slowed Response









South Korea Experience – Massive Environmental Impacts



Quarantine workers yesterday pour disinfectant into waste pumped up from a culled animal burial site in Namyangju, Gyeonggi. In the first test, animal blood was discovered. [YONHAP] Feb 22 "Measures to prevent water pollution are urgently needed, such as installing blocking walls inside the disposal sites or using pumps to remove the waste," Cho said. Cho also urged local governments to build incinerators to burn animal remains instead of burying them. ...





APPLYING TRADITIONAL STRATEGY IN US







Logistics

Typical Feedlot– Nebraska

Assume 50,000 head at 1200 lbs each =

60 M lbs = 30,000 tons

Commonly-available dump truck can hold 20 tons...

- It would take at least 1500 loads to move animals from pens to disposal site.
- If you can load and decon 2 trucks per hour, it would take 750 hours or more than a month working around the clock.

Cattle Burial

- 50,000 head cattle
- 50,000 head x 8 ft length = 400,000 ft = 76 mile trench length
- Area = 76 miles x (4+3) ft = 64 acres*
- 2400 gallons per day of leachate (assuming 60M lbs biomass with 60% rapidly degradable = 600K lbs leachate at 8.34 lbs/gallon over 5 years)
- * Note: Actual land area required by Japan for FMD mortality burial in 2010 was approximately twice this estimate because of the need for a buffer zone around trenches.

Burial Effect on Environment

Why is nitrogen a problem?

- EPA standard for nitrates in drinking water is 10.0 mg/L
- Carcass burial sites generate over 1000 times the standard
- Causes Methemoglobinemia (blue baby syndrome) which can be fatal to infants
- Toxic to aquatic life
- Depletes dissolved oxygen in receiving waters
- Stimulates aquatic plant growth (eutrophication)

Safer Burial Pit = Permitted Landfill

Use existing landfill capacity or build for purpose (would take at least 6 months to design/build)

IMPROVED STRATEGIES

Disposal Matrix

Weighting	Criteria	Off-Site Landfill	Rendering	Off-Site Incineration	Composting	Open Air Burning	On-Site Burial
Most Important (x3)	Public Health Risk (1)	9	9	9	9	6	3
	Biosecurity (2)	6	6	6	3	3	3
	Pathogen Inactivation (3)	3	6	9	6	9	3
	Environmentally Sustainable (4)	9	9	9	9	3	3
lmportant (x2)	Need to Transport Carcasses Offsite (5)	2	2	2	6	6	6
	Volume Reduction (6)	4	6	6	4	6	4
	Availability(7)	6	4	2	4	4	4
	Throughput (8)	6	6	2	4	4	4
	Speed to Implement (9)	6	4	4	4	4	4
	Public Acceptance (10)	6	4	6	4	2	4
Less Important (x1)	Cost Effectiveness (11)	3	2	1	1	1	3
	Efficiency (12)	3	3	3	2	1	2
	Operability (13)	3	3	3	2	1	3
	Regulatory limitations (14)	2	3	2	2	1	1
	Denial of use (15)	3	2	2	2	2	1
	Total Points	71	69	66	62	53	48
	Average Score	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.1	3.5	3.2

Decision Loop

First Option - Can Animal be used for its intended purpose?

Consider vaccination as a way to maintain animal health

Consult USDA APHIS Red Book for guidance on stamping out, vaccination to live and vaccination to slaughter options

□ Can livestock and poultry entering the food chain meet food safety requirements? Consult with food safety officials to:

Ensure animals are safe for human consumption

□ Ensure public acceptance of products

Ensure pathogens are contained

□ If so, send to slaughter or other processing. **If not**, ensure that depopulation methods are compatible with disposal capacity. Consider storage options so depopulation rate does not exceed disposal rate.

Proceed through checklist to select disposal option(s).

- Second Option Can off-site permitted landfill be used?
- See a comprehensive list of landfills at http://www2.ergweb.com/bdrtool/login.asp.
 - Logon to the I-WASTE Tool and obtain a password if you do not currently have one.
 - Enter userid and password.
 - Choose treatment and disposal facilities button on the lower left.
 - Enter filter criteria such as "facility type (e.g., rendering, incinerators, or landfill)"

Note that construction debris landfills are not suitable for carcass disposal, and hazardous waste landfills are not necessary unless the carcasses are contaminated with a hazardous material causing them to be classified as hazardous

Enter State or EPA region, and click "View List of Facilities" button.

Contact facilities and determine if they will accept your livestock or poultry and meet some or all of your capacity needs.

FAD PReP Guidance Documents

FAD PReP SOPs

Online Training: APHIS website

Introduction

Welcome to the Cleaning and Disinfection Course.

The purpose of this training course is to provide emergency responders the information to react to an animal disease emergency while preventing spread of the disease. This is one in a series of several courses which address disposal methods for animal disease mortalities. This course focuses on cleaning and disinfection of the premises after mortalities have been removed, in order to enable the facility to be repopulated.

This course covers personal protective equipment (PPE) requirements; roles and responsibilities of the C&D Unit; biosecurity protocols; and cleaning and disinfection methods, procedures, and agents utilized for animal houses, equipment and vehicles.

UNANSWERED QUESTIONS

Disposal Technology Risk Assessment

Exposure assessment for livestock carcass disposal options

EPA's National Homeland Security Research Center

Carcass Disposal Options Processes

The main objectives are to determine:

- Hazards associated with livestock carcass disposal options following a natural disaster, Foreign Animal Disease (FAD) outbreak, or other potential cause for massive carcass disposal of livestock
- Exposure pathways associated with each identified carcass disposal option
- Calculated exposures for each exposure pathway with sufficient data to quantify
- Levels of uncertainty in the calculated exposures
- Carcass disposal options that are the least likely to result in exposure impacts to additional livestock, human health, and/or the environment
- Knowledge gaps for further study to help minimize uncertainties in the assessment

Rendering Plant Risk

4th International Symposium on Managing Animal Mortality, Products, By Products and Associated Health Risk

May 21-24, 2012

Field Study to Examine Restoration of a Rendering Facility Back to Normal Operation Following Its Use for Disposal Rendering in an FAD Response

Dearborn, MI

Paul Lemieux¹, Associate Division Director, NHSRC/DCMD (lemieux.paul@epa.gov)

Joe Wood¹ (wood.joe@epa.gov), Worth Calfee¹ (calfee.worth@epa.gov), Leroy Mickelsen² (mickelsen.leroy@epa.gov), Lori Miller³ (lori.p.miller@aphis.usda.gov), Neil Daniell⁴ (ndaniell@dynamac.com), Anne Busher⁴ (abusher@dynamac.com), David Kirstein⁵ (dkirstein@darlingii.com), Ross Hamilton⁶ (rhamilton@darlingii.com), David Meeker⁷ (dmeeker@nationalrenderers.com)

¹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Homeland Security Research Center, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; ² U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Decontamination Team, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; ³ U.S. Department of Agriculture, <u>Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Riverdale, MD</u> 20737; ⁴ CSS-Dynamac, Inc.,

Findings/Recommendations:

Routine plant cleaning procedures resulted in approximately 1-log reduction in pathogen loading

The cleaning process using the steam and hot water has the potential to spread the contaminant throughout the plant

Establish contaminant control procedures for plant workers prior to delivery of any contaminated materials to the plant

Safe Transport Standards

Waste Pretreatment Study

Pretreatment Feasibility Study

EPA's National Homeland Security Research Center

Transportable Gasifier

Transportable Gasifier for Animal Carcasses: Emission Test Results

Paul Lemieux, <u>Ph</u>	D					
U.S. EPA						
David Brookes	Thermod	Thermodynamic and Design Concepts Behind the				
BGP, Inc.	- - -	Transportable Bio-Mass Gasifier				
Jim Howard						
North Cai	David Brookes D Eng					
John McKinne	David Diookes, F.Elig.					
		Written for presentation at the ymposium: Management of Am- and Related Byproducts cting Research, Regulations and University of California at Da Davis California July 21-23 2009	he imal Carcasses, Tissue d Response vis			

gasification systems and to some degree these are discussed in US Patent No. 6,116,168. The Transportable Gasifier is a dual chamber system that is intended to be capable of disposing of relatively high volumes of bio-mass in an efficient and environmentally safe

Automated Vehicle Decon

Non-Freezing Portable Vehicle Wash Tunnel

Integrated Solutions for Systems Huntsville, Alabama

Agent Persistence Data

Viable Bacillus atrophaeus detected in aerosol from pressure sprayer
Viable Bacillus atrophaeus detected in disinfectant rinsate
Suggests low-pressure sprayers, aerosol containment, and rinsate collection are required when decontaminating bacilluscontaminated environments
Follow-on study examining viruses and soiled surfaces

ffice of Research and Development ational Homeland Security Research Center

SUCCESSFUL RESPONSE

LPAI in Quail New York, 2007

Situation

Poorly managed operation

Quail carcasses in bags

Situation – Years without maintenance

Protecting Animal Agriculture

Preparation

Sorting and Piling

Shoveling

Containerizing

Dust and Particulates

Dry Cleaning – Broom Clean

Washing, Rinsing, Disinfecting

Drying, Contact Time

Ancillary Equipment Disinfection

Collecting Effluent

Demobilizing

Transporting

Transporting

Questions

